

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/817,095	03/26/2001	Antonius Adrianus Cornelis Maria Kalker	NL000101 7725		
24737	7590 07/26/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			YOUNG, BRIAN K		
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	01 MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Didimediii	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		2819		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annliactic	n No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		Applicatio 09/817,09		KALKER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	-	Brian You	na	2819				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic				idress			
Period fo		auon appears on the	over sheet war are t	orrespondence ad				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. days, a reply within the statu atory period will apply and will ill, by statute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timel the mailing date of this common c				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>30 March 2002</i> .						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)								
<u> </u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
D: 111		o undor Ex parto qui	zy.o, 1000 0 .D. 11, 10	00 0.0. 210.				
	ion of Claims							
*	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-13 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 14</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreian priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	3 p 3 3	3 (, (-, (-,-				
,.	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority de			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stage			
	application from the Internation				· ·			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2819

1. Claims 9,10 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 9,10 and 14 have not been further treated on the merits.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-8,11-13, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Van Dijk et al.

Van Dijk disclose a method (see abs) of "decoding a stream of *channel bits* of a signal relating to a *binary channel* signal into a steam of source bits of a signal relating to a binary source signal stream of channel bits, of a signal relating to a binary channel, is decoded into a stream of source bits, of a signal relating to a binary source. *This binary channel includes a main channel and a secondary channel*. This secondary channel is *embedded in the main channel*. Errors in the stream of secondary channel bits are corrected using a stream of corrected main channel bits. This stream of corrected main channel bits is reconstructed from a stream of corrected source bits. The secondary channel can be embedded in the main channel in different manners, e.g. *via multi-level coding or via merging-bit coding*".

FIG. 1 shows an embodiment of an encoding method. *User* data 1 is partitioned between the main channel 2, comprising main *user* bits 3, and the secondary channel 4, comprising secondary *user* bits 5. In step 6, error correction is applied on the main *user* bits 3, yielding main source bits 7. These main source bits 7 comprise *user* data and parities generated in step 6. In step 8, encoding of the main source bits 7 yields the main channel bits 9 without the amplitude information.

Error correction is applied on the secondary user bits 5, yielding secondary source bits

11. These secondary source bits 11 comprise *user* data and parities generated in step 10. The secondary source bits 11 are further split into a secondary pit channel 12, with secondary pit bits and a secondary land channel 13, with secondary land bits. In step 14, a d=0 DC-free channel code is used for encoding both channels to generate secondary pit channel bits 15 and secondary land channel bits 16.

The secondary channel bits yield the amplitude information to be incorporated in the waveform that is to be generated from the secondary channel bitstream. In step 17, the main channel bits 9, the secondary pit channel bits 15 and the secondary land channel bits 16 are combined to the assembled channel bits 18. These assembled channel bits 18 are then written on a record carrier 19.

When writing the assembled channel bits on the record carrier, the multi-level coding is only applied for *runlengths In.sub.min* or greater, in which In.sub.min is a predetermined value. This multi-level coding can be performed in different ways. For example, the pits and lands can be mastered in a so-called "peanut"-structure which is realized by turning off the laser at a predetermined place and for a predetermined time in the case of a pit and by turning on the laser at a predetermined place and for a predetermined time in the case of a land. Also a narrower pit structure can be used for multi-level coding.

The secondary channel 2 is dependent on the main channel 4 due to the linking of the secondary amplitude effect with the longer runlengths. The detection problem caused by the hierarchy between main and secondary channels will be explained for the case ln.sub.min =6. Suppose, for instance, that a channel error occurred in the main channel

(a simple transition shift) which turned an I5 into an I6. The first run does not carry an additional bit, whereas the second one does. Therefore, straightforward detection of the secondary channel yields a bit insertion. A bit deletion takes place when an I6 is turned into an I5 during RLL detection. In fact, simple transition shifts in the RLL channel can lead to bit slips (bit insertions and bit deletions) in the LML channel. This is further explained with reference to FIG. 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Young whose telephone number is 571-272-1816. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Tokar can be reached on 571-272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Young
Primary Examiner
Art Vinit 2819